

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,553	3 02/23/2004		Yukihiro Matsumoto	2004-2150.ORI	1928	
23165	7590	10/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROBERT J			MANOHARA	MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH ST PAUL, MN 551161511				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1764		
				DATE MAILED: 10/13/200-	DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,553	MATSUMOTO, YUKIHIRP					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Virginia Manoharan	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	February 2004						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Naminor. Note the attached Office	Action of 10/11/1 10-132.					
<u> </u>							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1					

Application/Control Number: 10/784,553

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology often used in patent claims such as "comprises" in line 4. Also, the phrase "There are disclosed an" should be replaced with - An-.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification had not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of possible minor errors e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become in the specification.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a) There is no further structure recited in the process of claim 4 to add to the apparatus of claim 1.
- b) The claimed "A process" in the preamble of claim 4 is at odd with the "An apparatus " of claim 1, the claim from which it depends.

[Reciting claim 4 in independent form obviates the above rejections].

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/784,553

Art Unit: 1764

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoneda et al (6,596,129) in view of Skraba et al (3,803, 002) or Liebert (4,189,616).

Yoneda discloses substantially the apparatus and process as claimed. See e.g. Figure . 3-5. The apparatus and process of Yoneda differ from the claimed invention in that claim 1, for example, recites in the "wherein" clause that the "..reboiler is set in a number of at least two in parallel to the distillation column.."

However, either Skraba or Liebert shows in each respective Fig. 1 drawings at least two reboilers in parallel to a distillation column as claimed. To incorporate the at least two parallel reboilers of Skraba or Liebert to Yoneda's apparatus and process would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the advantages disclose at col. 1, lines 17-34 of Skraba; and for the advantage disclose at col. 12, lines 30-35 of Liebert.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a) Nolley Jr. discloses a fractionation with two reboilers (6) and (7) in series.
- b) Engelbach et al discloses a method and apparatus of separating high boiling materials.
- c) Agrawal discloses a batch distillation process and apparatus with reboilers in the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,553

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-271-1450. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V. Manoharan/af October 1, 2004

> CUNYA MANUHAHALI PIMARY EXAMINED

> > 10/4/04